## UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS AT PINE BLUFF JOHN BROWN WATSON MEMORIAL LIBRARY FACULTY/LIBRARIAN ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Pa	rt I - EMPLOYEE INFORMATION	
Name (Last, First, MI)	Colleague ID Number	Department
Position Title	Class Code	Position Number
Part II - S	SUPERVISOR'S (RATER) INFORMA	TION
Name of Rater (Last, First, MI)	Telephone Number	Position Title
Part III –	REVIEWING OFFICIAL'S INFORMA	ATION
Name of Reviewing Official (Last, First)	Telephone Number	Position Title
	Part IV – RATING PERIOD	
Rating Period Beginning Date:	Rating Period Endir	ng Date:
	Part V – DEFINITIONS	

**Duty Area – Librarianship**: Librarianship is central to the purposes and objectives of the University and is to be evaluated, rewarded and encouraged in ways parallel to those for scholarship and service.

**Duty Area – Scholarship**: Scholarship is defined as a systematic, focused attention on a question, problem or idea, characterized by expertise, originality, analysis and significance.

**Duty Area – Service**: Service encompasses a faculty member's activities in the areas of university, professional and community service.

**Duty Area – Formative Standards**: Supervision of staff and/or student workers, performance of stated duties in accordance with library standards (ALA & ACRL), adherence to library policies and procedures, cooperation, and initiative.

## **Ratings Definitions**

**Excellent (E)** - greatly exceeds normal and expected standards of performance and is deserving of special recognition for outstanding accomplishments during the year.

Good (G) – exceeds normal and expected standards of performance for the year.

**Satisfactory (S)** – meets normal and expected standards of performance for the year. Suggestions for improvement may accompany this rating.

**Unsatisfactory (U)** – fails to meet normal and expected standards for the year. Suggestions for improvement must accompany this rating.

1. LIBRARIANSHIP				
	E	G	S	U
Outstanding performance in the main area of responsibility				
Enrolling in professionally-related continuing education activities				
Maintaining current awareness through reading, meetings and workshops				
Designing substantial aids for research				
Instruction, not necessarily in a classroom situation				
· ·				
2. SCHOLARSHIP				
Peer-reviewed contributions to research				
Creation of electronic, multimedia resources				
Innovations that constitute significant advancement of professional practice				
Funded grant proposals				
Collaboration with other library faculty members				
3. SERVICE (University, Professional and Community)				
a. University				
Leadership and participation in the governance of UAPB community				
Leadership and participation in UAPB activities such as colloquia and seminars				
Participation in library activities, such as seminars and serve on committees				
Engaging in mentoring activities				
b. Professional				
Serving as an officer/active member in professional and learned societies				
Serving as program chair or discussant for professional meetings				
Refereeing a competitive papers session				
Reviewing grant proposals				
Organizing workshops, institutes or similar meetings				
Serving scholarly journals or newsletters in an editorial or analytical capacity				
Reviewing books and other literature in peer-reviewed journals				
Description of the second seco				
c. Community				
Public appearances in the interest of librarianship and information transfer				
Participating as an expert in a community project, consortium or task force				
Sharing professional knowledge and expertise with groups outside the University				
that directly support the goals and mission of UAPB as a metropolitan-based				
institution committed to the community and region				
4. FORMATIVE STANDARDS				
Supervision of staff and/or student workers			_	_
		-	$\rightarrow$	_
Performance of stated duties in accordance with library standards (ALA & ACRL)  Adherence to library policies and procedures			_	
Cooperation and collegiality	_	-	_	_
Initiative		-	_	
miliative		1		

Comments:	
Summary:	
By signing below the employee concurs only that the performance eval employee's signature does not indicate that he or she agrees with the ethe performance may be submitted on a separate sheet.	uation has been conducted. The evaluation. Comments concerning
Librarian/Faculty's Signature:	Date:
Rater's Signature:	Date:
Reviewing Official's Signature:	Date: